arXiv:1203.1414v1 [math.DS] 7 Mar 2012

EXISTENCE OF A WEAK SOLUTION FOR FRACTIONAL EULER-LAGRANGE EQUATIONS.

by

Loïc Bourdin

.

Abstract. — In this paper, we state with a variational method a general theorem providing the existence of a weak solution u for fractional Euler-Lagrange equations of the type:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(u, D^{\alpha}_{-} u, t) + D^{\alpha}_{+} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(u, D^{\alpha}_{-} u, t) \right) = 0, \qquad (\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha})$$

on a real interval $[a, b]$ and where D^{α}_{-} and D^{α}_{+} are the fractional derivatives of Riemann-Liouville of order $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Keywords: Fractional Euler-Lagrange equations; existence; fractional variational calculus.
AMS Classification: 70H03; 26A33.

1. Introduction

1.1. Context in the fractional calculus. — The fractional calculus is the mathematical domain dealing with the generalization of the derivative to any real order. It plays an increasing role in many varied scientific fields as economy [**11**], probability [**26, 31**] or biology [**27**]. Particularly, fractional derivatives have recently been proved to be valuable tools in many physical contexts (see [**18**]): wave mechanic [**4**], viscoelasticity [**5**], thermodynamics [**19**], fluid mechanic in heterogeneous media [**16, 32**], etc.

In recent years, a subtopic of the fractional calculus gains importance: it concerns the variational principles on functionals involving fractional derivatives. This leads to the statement of fractional Euler-Lagrange equations, see [**1, 6, 29**].

Precisely, in the whole paper, let us consider $a < b$ two reals, $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and the following Lagrangian functional

$$\mathcal{L}(u) = \int_a^b L(u, D^{\alpha}_{-} u, t) \, dt, \tag{1}$$

where L is a Lagrangian, *i.e.* an application of the form:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} L: & \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times [a,b] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ & (x,y,t) & \longmapsto & L(x,y,t), \end{array} \tag{2}$$

where D^{α}_{-} is the left fractional derivative of Riemann-Liouville of order $0 < \alpha < 1$ and where the variables u are functions defined on (a, b) with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let us precise that the definitions of the fractional operators of Riemann-Liouville are reminded in Section 2.2.

Then, with smooth enough Lagrangian L and variables u, a calculus of variations leads to the following result: u is a critical point of $\mathcal{L}$ if and only if u is solution of the following *fractional Euler-Lagrange equation*:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) + D^\alpha_+ \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \right) = 0, \tag{EL$^\alpha$}$$

where D^α_+ is the right fractional derivative of Riemann-Liouville. We refer to [**1, 6, 29**] for more details.

Let us note that a direct consequence of this work is the emergence of many studies concerning a class of fractional optimal control problems, see [**2, 3, 14, 15, 20**] and references therein. However, fractional differential equations are in general very difficult to solve (see [**22, 28, 30**] for some solved examples). Moreover, (EL$^\alpha$) presents an asymmetry: left and right fractional derivatives are involved and it is an additional drawback for the explicit computation of a solution (even with simple Lagrangian L, see [**7, 24**]). Consequently, numerous studies have been devoted to numerical schemes for (EL$^\alpha$) (see [**3, 9**]) and to the statement of fractional Noether's theorems providing constants of motion (see [**8, 14, 15**]).

1.2. Main result. — In this paper, we provide a general theorem of existence of a weak solution for (EL$^\alpha$), see Theorem 2. Although some results in this direction are given for particular fractional variational problems [**21, 23**], it seems that no general theorem is provided in the literature on the subject.

Firstly, let us give some notations and spaces of functions. In the whole paper, let us denote $\| \cdot \|$ the Euclidean norm of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathscr{C} := \mathscr{C}([a,b];\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of continuous functions endowed with its usual norm $\| \cdot \|_\infty$. Then, for any $p \geq 1$, let $L^p := L^p\big((a,b);\mathbb{R}^d\big)$ be the classical Lebesgue space of p-integrable functions endowed with its usual norm $\| \cdot \|_{L^p}$.

Now, let us give the following definition:

Definition 1. — *A function u is said to be a weak solution of* (EL$^\alpha$) *if $u \in \mathscr{C}$ and if u satisfies* (EL$^\alpha$) *almost everywhere on $[a,b]$.*

For any $0 < \alpha < 1$ and any $p \geq 1$, let us define the following space of functions:

$$E_{\alpha,p} := \{ u \in L^p \text{ satisfying } D^\alpha_- u \in L^p \text{ and } I^\alpha_- \circ D^\alpha_- u = u \}, \tag{3}$$

where I^α_- is the left fractional integral of Riemann-Liouville, see definition in Section 2.2.

We are now in position in order to formulate the main result of the paper:

Theorem 2. — *Let L be a Lagrangian of class $\mathscr{C}^1$ and $0 < (1/p) < \alpha < 1$. If L satisfies the following hypothesis denoted* (H$_1$), (H$_2$), (H$_3$), (H$_4$) *and* (H$_5$)*:*

- *there exist $0 \leq d_1 \leq p$ and r_1, $s_1 \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{R}^d \times [a,b], \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that:*

$$\forall (x,y,t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times [a,b], \ |L(x,y,t) - L(x,0,t)| \leq r_1(x,t)\|y\|^{d_1} + s_1(x,t); \tag{H$_1$}$$

- *there exist* $0 \leq d_2 \leq p$ *and* $r_2,\ s_2 \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{R}^d \times [a,b], \mathbb{R}^+)$ *such that:*
$$\forall (x,y,t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times [a,b],\ \left\| \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(x,y,t) \right\| \leq r_2(x,t)\|y\|^{d_2} + s_2(x,t); \tag{H$_2$}$$
- *there exist* $0 \leq d_3 \leq p-1$ *and* $r_3,\ s_3 \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{R}^d \times [a,b], \mathbb{R}^+)$ *such that:*
$$\forall (x,v,t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times [a,b],\ \left\| \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(x,y,t) \right\| \leq r_3(x,t)\|y\|^{d_3} + s_3(x,t); \tag{H$_3$}$$
- ***coercivity condition**: there exist* $\gamma > 0$, $1 \leq d_4 < p$, $c_1 \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{R}^d \times [a,b], [\gamma, \infty[)$, c_2, $c_3 \in \mathscr{C}([a,b], \mathbb{R})$ *such that:*
$$\forall (x,y,t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times [a,b],\ L(x,y,t) \geq c_1(x,t)\|y\|^p + c_2(t)\|x\|^{d_4} + c_3(t); \tag{H$_4$}$$
- ***convexity condition**:*
$$\forall t \in [a,b],\ L(\cdot,\cdot,t) \text{ is convex.} \tag{H$_5$}$$

Then, $\mathcal{L}$ *admits a global minimum* u *on* $E_{\alpha,p}$ *and* u *is a weak solution of* (EL$^\alpha$).

Actually, we also prove in this paper other versions of this theorem with weaker assumptions replacing Hypothesis (H$_5$). We refer to Section 5.

1.3. About the proof. — In the classical case $\alpha = 1$, $D^1_- = -D^1_+ = d/dt$ and consequently (EL$^\alpha$) is nothing else but the classical Euler-Lagrange equation formulated in the 1750s. In this case, a lot of results of existence of solutions are already given. Nevertheless, there exist different approaches.

Indeed, a first approach is to develop the classical Euler-Lagrange equation in order to obtain an implicit second order differential equation, see [**17**] for example. Then, under a *hyper regularity* or *non singularity* condition on the Lagrangian L, the equation is written as an explicit second order differential equation and the Cauchy-Lipschitz Theorem gives the existence of local or global regular solutions. Nevertheless, a second approach consists in using the variational structure of the equation, see [**12, 13**] for example. Indeed, under some assumptions, the critical points of $\mathcal{L}$ are solutions of the classical Euler-Lagrange equation. The idea is then to prove the existence of critical points of $\mathcal{L}$. In this way, authors assume some conditions (like coercivity and convexity of the Lagrangian L) implying the existence of extrema of $\mathcal{L}$. With this second method, authors have to use reflexive spaces of functions and consequently, they only obtain weak solutions (in specific senses).

In this paper, we extend the second approach to the strict fractional case. Indeed, although there exist fractional versions of the Cauchy-Lipschitz Theorem (see [**22, 30**]), there is no simple rules for the fractional derivative of a composition and consequently, we can not write (EL$^\alpha$) in a simpler way. Hence, in the strict fractional case, we can not follow the first method.

Theorem 2 is based on two preliminary results. Precisely, under Hypothesis (H$_1$), (H$_2$) and (H$_3$), Theorem 10 in Section 4 states that if u is a critical point of $\mathcal{L}$ defined on $E_{\alpha,p}$, then u is a weak solution of (EL$^\alpha$). Assuming additionally Hypothesis (H$_4$) and (H$_5$), Theorem 12 in Section 5 states that $\mathcal{L}$ admits a global minimum on $E_{\alpha,p}$. Hence, the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

Let us precise that the method here developed is inspired by the study of the existence of a weak solution for a particular fractional variational problem in [**21**] and inspired by the works concerning the existence of a weak solution for classical Euler-Lagrange equations in [**12, 13**].

1.4. Organisation of the paper. — The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some usual notations of spaces of continuous functions and we remind the definitions of the fractional operators of Riemann-Liouville. Then, we give some useful properties of these fractional operators. Section 3 is devoted to the study of the space $E_{\alpha,p}$ on which the Lagrangian functional $\mathcal{L}$ will be defined. In particular, we prove that it is a separable reflexive Banach space. In Section 4, we are interested in the variational structure of (EL^α) and we prove Theorem 10 stating that every critical points of $\mathcal{L}$ on $E_{\alpha,p}$ are weak solutions of (EL^α). In Section 5, we prove Theorem 12 stating, under coercivity and convexity conditions, that $\mathcal{L}$ admits a global minimum on $E_{\alpha,p}$. Section 6 is devoted to some examples.

2. Reminder about fractional calculus

2.1. Some spaces of continuous functions. — Let us give some usual notations of spaces of continuous functions defined on $[a,b]$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$:

- $AC := AC([a,b];\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of absolutely continuous functions;
- $\mathscr{C}^\infty := \mathscr{C}^\infty([a,b];\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions;
- $\mathscr{C}^\infty_c := \mathscr{C}^\infty_c([a,b];\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions and compactly supported in $]a,b[$.

Let us remind that AC coincides with the usual Sobolev space $W^{1,1} := W^{1,1}\big((a,b);\mathbb{R}^d\big)$ and with the following space:

$$AC = \{f \in \mathscr{C},\ f \text{ differentiable a.e. on [a,b] and } \dot{f} \in L^1\}, \tag{4}$$

where *a.e.* denotes *almost everywhere* and where $\dot{f}$ denotes the derivative of f. In particular, for $f \in AC$, the following equalities hold:

$$\forall t \in [a,b],\ f(t) = f(a) + \int_a^t \dot{f}(\xi)\ d\xi = f(b) - \int_t^b \dot{f}(\xi)\ d\xi. \tag{5}$$

We refer to [**25**] for more details concerning the absolutely continuous functions.

Finally, let us denote by $\mathscr{C}_0$ (resp. AC_0 or $\mathscr{C}^\infty_0$) the space of functions $f \in \mathscr{C}$ (resp. AC or $\mathscr{C}^\infty$) such that $f(a) = 0$. In particular, we have $\mathscr{C}^\infty_c \subset \mathscr{C}^\infty_0 \subset AC_0$.

2.2. Fractional operators of Riemann-Liouville. — Since 1695, numerous notions of fractional operators emerge over the year, see [**22, 28, 30**]. In this paper, we only use the fractional operators of Riemann-Liouville (1847) whose definitions and some basic results are reminded in this section. We refer to [**22, 30**] for the omitted proofs.

Let $\alpha > 0$ and f be a function defined on (a,b) with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The left (resp. right) fractional integral in the sense of Riemann-Liouville with inferior limit a (resp. superior limit b) of order α of f is given by:

$$\forall t \in]a,b],\ I^\alpha_- f(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-\xi)^{\alpha-1} f(\xi)\ d\xi, \tag{6}$$

respectively:

$$\forall t \in [a,b[,\ I^{\alpha}_{+} f(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_t^b (\xi - t)^{\alpha-1} f(\xi)\, d\xi, \tag{7}$$

where Γ denotes the Euler's Gamma function and provided the right side terms are defined. If $f \in L^1$, then $I^{\alpha}_{-} f$ and $I^{\alpha}_{+} f$ are defined a.e. on (a,b).

Now, let us consider $0 < \alpha < 1$. The left (resp. right) fractional derivative in the sense of Riemann-Liouville with inferior limit a (resp. superior limit b) of order α of f is given by:

$$\forall t \in]a,b],\ D^{\alpha}_{-} g(t) := \frac{d}{dt}\big(I^{1-\alpha}_{-} g\big)(t) \quad \Big(\text{resp.} \quad \forall t \in [a,b[,\ D^{\alpha}_{+} g(t) := -\frac{d}{dt}\big(I^{1-\alpha}_{+} g\big)(t)\Big), \tag{8}$$

provided the right side terms are defined. If $f \in AC$, then $D^{\alpha}_{-} f$ and $D^{\alpha}_{+} f$ are defined a.e. on (a,b) and we have:

$$D^{\alpha}_{-} f = I^{1-\alpha}_{-} \dot{f} + \frac{f(a)}{(t-a)^{\alpha}\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \quad \text{and} \quad D^{\alpha}_{+} f = -I^{1-\alpha}_{+} \dot{f} + \frac{f(b)}{(b-t)^{\alpha}\Gamma(1-\alpha)}. \tag{9}$$

Hence, if $f \in AC_0$, then $D^{\alpha}_{-} f = I^{1-\alpha}_{-} \dot{f}$ and $D^{\alpha}_{+} f = -I^{1-\alpha}_{+} \dot{f}$.

2.3. Some properties of the fractional operators. — In this section, we provide some properties concerning the left fractional operators of Riemann-Liouville. One can easily derive the analogous versions for the right ones. These properties are used further in the paper.

Properties 3, 4 and 5 are well-known and one can find their proofs in the classical literature on the subject, see [**22, 30**] for example. The first result yields the semi-group property of the left Riemann-Liouville fractional integral:

Property 3. — *For any α, $\beta > 0$ and any function $f \in L^1$, the following equality holds:*

$$I^{\alpha}_{-} \circ I^{\beta}_{-} f = I^{\alpha+\beta}_{-} f. \tag{10}$$

From Property 3, one can easily deduce the following results concerning the composition between fractional integral and fractional derivative:

$$\forall f \in L^1,\ D^{\alpha}_{-} \circ I^{\alpha}_{-} f = f \quad \text{and} \quad \forall f \in AC,\ I^{\alpha}_{-} \circ D^{\alpha}_{-} f = f. \tag{11}$$

Another classical result is the boundedness of the left fractional integral from L^p to L^p:

Property 4. — *For any $\alpha > 0$ and any $p \geq 1$, I^{α}_{-} is linear and continuous from L^p to L^p. Precisely, we have:*

$$\forall f \in L^p,\ \|I^{\alpha}_{-} f\|_{L^p} \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \|f\|_{L^p}. \tag{12}$$

The following classical property concerns the integration of fractional integrals. It is occasionally called *fractional integration by parts*:

Property 5. — *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $f \in L^p$ and $g \in L^q$ where $(1/p) + (1/q) \leq 1 + \alpha$ (and $p \neq 1 \neq q$ in the case $(1/p) + (1/q) = 1 + \alpha$). Then, the following equality holds:*

$$\int_a^b I^{\alpha}_{-} f \cdot g \, dt = \int_a^b f \cdot I^{\alpha}_{+} g \, dt. \tag{13}$$

This change of side of the fractional integral (from I^α_- to I^α_+) is responsible of the emergence of D^α_+ in (EL^α) although only D^α_- is involved in the Lagrangian functional $\mathcal{L}$. We refer to Section 4.2 for more details.

The following Property 6 is mainly proved in [**21**]. For the reader's convenience, we remind the proof. This following result completes Property 4 in the case $0 < (1/p) < \alpha < 1$. Indeed, in this case, I^α_- is additionally bounded from L^p to $\mathscr{C}_0$. Precisely:

Property 6. — *Let* $0 < (1/p) < \alpha < 1$ *and* $q = p/(p-1)$. *Then, for any* $f \in L^p$, *we have:*

- $I^\alpha_- f$ *is Holdër continuous on* $]a,b]$ *with exponent* $\alpha - (1/p) > 0$;
- $\lim\limits_{t\to a} I^\alpha_- f(t) = 0$.

Consequently, $I^\alpha_- f$ *can be continuously extended by* 0 *in* $t = a$. *Finally, for any* $f \in L^p$, *we have* $I^\alpha_- f \in \mathscr{C}_0$. *Moreover, the following inequality holds:*

$$\forall f \in L^p,\ \|I^\alpha_- f\|_\infty \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha-(1/p)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\big((\alpha-1)q+1\big)^{1/q}} \|f\|_{L^p}. \tag{14}$$

Proof. — Let $f \in L^p$ and let us remind the following inequality:

$$\forall a \geq b \geq 0,\ (a-b)^q \leq a^q - b^q. \tag{15}$$

Let us prove that $I^\alpha_- f$ is Holdër continuous on $]a,b]$. For any $a < t_1 < t_2 \leq b$, using the Hölder's inequality, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I^\alpha_- f(t_2) - I^\alpha_- f(t_1)\| &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left\| \int_a^{t_2} (t_2-\xi)^{\alpha-1} f(\xi)\, d\xi - \int_a^{t_1} (t_1-\xi)^{\alpha-1} f(\xi)\, d\xi \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-\xi)^{\alpha-1} f(\xi)\, d\xi \right\| \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left\| \int_a^{t_1} \big((t_2-\xi)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1-\xi)^{\alpha-1}\big) f(\xi)\, d\xi \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{\|f\|_{L^p}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-\xi)^{(\alpha-1)q}\, d\xi \right)^{1/q} \\
&\qquad + \frac{\|f\|_{L^p}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\int_a^{t_1} \big((t_1-\xi)^{\alpha-1} - (t_2-\xi)^{\alpha-1}\big)^q\, d\xi \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq \frac{\|f\|_{L^p}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-\xi)^{(\alpha-1)q}\, d\xi \right)^{1/q} \\
&\qquad + \frac{\|f\|_{L^p}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\int_a^{t_1} (t_1-\xi)^{(\alpha-1)q} - (t_2-\xi)^{(\alpha-1)q}\, d\xi \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq \frac{2\|f\|_{L^p}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\big((\alpha-1)q+1\big)^{1/q}} (t_2-t_1)^{\alpha-(1/p)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the first point is completed. Let us prove the second point. For any $t \in]a,b]$, we can prove in the same manner that:

$$\|I^\alpha_- f(t)\| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{L^p}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\big((\alpha-1)q+1\big)^{1/q}} (t-a)^{\alpha-(1/p)} \xrightarrow[t\to a]{} 0, \tag{16}$$

which completes the proof. □

3. Space of functions $E_{\alpha,p}$

A variational method in order to prove the existence of a weak solution of (EL$^\alpha$) needs the introduction of an appropriate space of functions. This space has to present some properties like reflexivity. In this paper, we take inspiration from the space introduced in [**21**] and we add an additional condition on it.

From now and in all the rest of the paper, we consider:

$$0 < (1/p) < \alpha < 1, \quad q = p/(p-1) \tag{17}$$

and the space of functions $E_{\alpha,p}$ defined in Equation (3). We endow $E_{\alpha,p}$ with the following norm:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \| \cdot \|_{\alpha,p} : & E_{\alpha,p} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^+ \\ & u & \longmapsto & \big(\|u\|^p_{L^p} + \|D^\alpha_- u\|^p_{L^p} \big)^{1/p}. \end{array} \tag{18}$$

Let us note that:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} | \cdot |_{\alpha,p} : & E_{\alpha,p} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^+ \\ & u & \longmapsto & \|D^\alpha_- u\|_{L^p} \end{array} \tag{19}$$

is an equivalent norm to $\| \cdot \|_{\alpha,p}$ for $E_{\alpha,p}$. Indeed, using Property 4, we have:

$$\forall u \in E_{\alpha,p}, \ \|u\|_{L^p} = \|I^\alpha_- \circ D^\alpha_- u\|_{L^p} \leq \frac{(b-a)^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \|D^\alpha_- u\|_{L^p}. \tag{20}$$

In this section, we prove the following proposition:

Proposition 7. — *$E_{\alpha,p}$ is a reflexive separable Banach space and we have $E_{\alpha,p} \hookrightarrow\!\!\!\rightarrow \mathscr{C}_0$.*

We finish this section with some remarks, see Section 3.4.

3.1. $E_{\alpha,p}$ is a reflexive separable Banach space. — Let us prove this property. First, let us denote $(L^p)^2 := L^p \times L^p$ endowed with the norm $\|(u,v)\|_{(L^p)^2} = (\|u\|^p_{L^p} + \|v\|^p_{L^p})^{1/p}$. Since $p > 1$, $(L^p, \| \cdot \|_{L^p})$ is a reflexive separable Banach space and therefore, $\big((L^p)^2, \| \cdot \|_{(L^p)^2}\big)$ is also a reflexive separable Banach space.

Let us define $\Omega := \{(u, D^\alpha_- u), \ u \in E_{\alpha,p}\}$ and let us prove that Ω is a closed subspace of $\big((L^p)^2, \| \cdot \|_{(L^p)^2}\big)$. Let $(u_n, v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Omega$ such that:

$$(u_n, v_n) \xrightarrow{(L^p)^2} (u, v). \tag{21}$$

Let us prove that $(u,v) \in \Omega$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(u_n, v_n) \in \Omega$. Thus, $u_n \in E_{\alpha,p}$ and $v_n = D^\alpha_- u_n$. Consequently, we have:

$$u_n \xrightarrow{L^p} u \quad \text{and} \quad D^\alpha_- u_n \xrightarrow{L^p} v. \tag{22}$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since $u_n \in E_{\alpha,p}$ and since I^α_- is continuous from L^p to L^p, we have:

$$u_n = I^\alpha_- \circ D^\alpha_- u_n \xrightarrow{L^p} I^\alpha_- v. \tag{23}$$

Thus, $u = I^\alpha_- v$, $D^\alpha_- u = D^\alpha_- \circ I^\alpha_- v = v \in L^p$ and $I^\alpha_- \circ D^\alpha_- u = I^\alpha_- v = u$. Hence, $u \in E_{\alpha,p}$ and $(u,v) = (u, D^\alpha_- u) \in \Omega$. In conclusion, Ω is a closed subspace of $\big((L^p)^2, \| \cdot \|_{(L^p)^2}\big)$ and then Ω

is a reflexive separable Banach space.

Finally, defining the following operator:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} A: & E_{\alpha,p} & \longrightarrow & \Omega \\ & u & \longmapsto & (u, D^\alpha_- u), \end{array} \tag{24}$$

we prove that $E_{\alpha,p}$ is isometric isomorphic to Ω and then, the proof is completed.

3.2. The continuous embedding $E_{\alpha,p} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{C}_0$. — Let us prove this result. Let $u \in E_{\alpha,p}$ and then $D^\alpha_- u \in L^p$. Since $0 < (1/p) < \alpha < 1$, Property 6 gives $I^\alpha_- \circ D^\alpha_- u \in \mathscr{C}_0$. Furthermore, we have $u = I^\alpha_- \circ D^\alpha_- u$ and consequently, we can identify u to its continuous representative. Moreover, Property 6 also gives:

$$\forall u \in E_{\alpha,p},\ \|u\|_\infty = \|I^\alpha_- \circ D^\alpha_- u\|_\infty \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha-(1/p)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\big((\alpha-1)q+1\big)^{1/q}} |u|_{\alpha,p}. \tag{25}$$

Since $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha,p}$ and $|\cdot|_{\alpha,p}$ are equivalent norms, the proof is completed.

3.3. The compact embedding $E_{\alpha,p} \hookrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow \mathscr{C}_0$. — Let us prove this property. Since $E_{\alpha,p}$ is a reflexive Banach space, we have just to prove that:

$$\forall (u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset E_{\alpha,p} \text{ such that } u_n \xrightharpoonup{E_{\alpha,p}} u, \text{ then } u_n \xrightarrow{\mathscr{C}} u. \tag{26}$$

Let $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset E_{\alpha,p}$ such that:

$$u_n \xrightharpoonup{E_{\alpha,p}} u. \tag{27}$$

Since $E_{\alpha,p} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{C}_0$, we have:

$$u_n \xrightharpoonup{\mathscr{C}} u. \tag{28}$$

Since $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly in $E_{\alpha,p}$, $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $E_{\alpha,p}$. Consequently, $(D^\alpha_- u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in L^p by a constant $M \geq 0$. Let us prove that $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathscr{C}_0$ is uniformly lipschitzian on $[a,b]$. Indeed, according to the proof of Property 6, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall n \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall a \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq b,\ \|u_n(t_2) - u_n(t_1)\| &\leq \|I^\alpha_- \circ D^\alpha_- u_n(t_2) - I^\alpha_- \circ D^\alpha_- u_n(t_1)\| \\ &\leq \frac{2\|D^\alpha_- u_n\|_{L^p}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\big((\alpha-1)q+1\big)^{1/p}} (t_2-t_1)^{\alpha-(1/p)} \\ &\leq \frac{2M}{\Gamma(\alpha)\big((\alpha-1)q+1\big)^{1/p}} (t_2-t_1)^{\alpha-(1/p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from the Ascoli's Theorem, $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is relatively compact in $\mathscr{C}$. Consequently, there exists a subsequence of $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging strongly in $\mathscr{C}$ and the limit is u by uniqueness of the weak limit.

Now, let us prove by contradiction that the whole sequence $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges strongly to u in $\mathscr{C}$. Indeed, in the negative case, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a subsequence $(u_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that:

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N},\ \|u_{n_k} - u\|_\infty > \varepsilon > 0. \tag{29}$$

Nevertheless, since $(u_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, then it satisfies:

$$u_{n_k} \xrightharpoonup{E_{\alpha,p}} u. \tag{30}$$

In the same way (using the Ascoli's Theorem), we can construct a subsequence of $(u_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging strongly to u in $\mathscr{C}$ which is a contradiction to (29). The proof is now completed.

3.4. Some remarks. — First, let us remind a result concerning the action of the left fractional integral on regular functions. One can derive the analogous version for the right one:

$$\forall\varphi \in \mathscr{C}^\infty_c,\ I^\alpha_-\varphi \in \mathscr{C}^\infty_0. \tag{31}$$

From this result, we can prove the two following results. The first one is:

$$\mathscr{C}^\infty_0 \text{ is dense in } E_{\alpha,p}. \tag{32}$$

Firstly, let us prove that $\mathscr{C}^\infty_0 \subset E_{\alpha,p}$. Let $u \in \mathscr{C}^\infty_0 \subset L^p$. Since $u \in AC_0$ and $\dot{u} \in L^p$, we have $D^\alpha_- u = I^{1-\alpha}_- \dot{u} \in L^p$. Since $u \in AC$, we also have $I^\alpha_- \circ D^\alpha_- u = u$. Finally, $u \in E_{\alpha,p}$. Now, let us prove that $\mathscr{C}^\infty_0$ is dense in $E_{\alpha,p}$. Let $u \in E_{\alpha,p}$, then $D^\alpha_- u \in L^p$. Consequently, there exists $(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathscr{C}^\infty_c$ such that:

$$v_n \xrightarrow{L^p} D^\alpha_- u \quad \text{and then} \quad I^\alpha_- v_n \xrightarrow{L^p} I^\alpha_- \circ D^\alpha_- u = u, \tag{33}$$

since I^α_- is continuous from L^p to L^p. Defining $u_n := I^\alpha_- v_n \in \mathscr{C}^\infty_0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain:

$$u_n \xrightarrow{L^p} u \quad \text{and} \quad D^\alpha_- u_n = D^\alpha_- \circ I^\alpha_- v_n = v_n \xrightarrow{L^p} D^\alpha_- u. \tag{34}$$

Finally, $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathscr{C}^\infty_0$ and converges to u in $E_{\alpha,p}$. The proof is completed.

The second result is the following. In the case $(1/p) < \min(\alpha, 1-\alpha)$, let us prove that:

$$E_{\alpha,p} = \{u \in L^p \text{ satisfying } D^\alpha_- u \in L^p\}. \tag{35}$$

Precisely, let $u \in L^p$ satisfying $D^\alpha_- u \in L^p$ and let us prove that $I^\alpha_- \circ D^\alpha_- u = u$. Let $\varphi \in \mathscr{C}^\infty_c \subset L^1$. Since $D^\alpha_- u \in L^p$, Property 5 leads to:

$$\int_a^b I^\alpha_- \circ D^\alpha_- u \cdot \varphi \, dt = \int_a^b D^\alpha_- u \cdot I^\alpha_+ \varphi \, dt = \int_a^b \frac{d}{dt}(I^{1-\alpha}_- u) \cdot I^\alpha_+ \varphi \, dt. \tag{36}$$

Then, an integration by parts gives:

$$\int_a^b I^\alpha_- \circ D^\alpha_- u \cdot \varphi \, dt = \int_a^b I^{1-\alpha}_- u \cdot D^{1-\alpha}_+ \varphi \, dt. \tag{37}$$

Indeed, $I^\alpha_+ \varphi(b) = 0$ since $\varphi \in \mathscr{C}^\infty_c$ and $I^{1-\alpha}_- u(a) = 0$ since $u \in L^p$ and $(1/p) < 1-\alpha$. Finally, using Property 5 again, we obtain:

$$\int_a^b I^\alpha_- \circ D^\alpha_- u \cdot \varphi \, dt = \int_a^b u \cdot I^{1-\alpha}_+ \circ D^{1-\alpha}_+ \varphi \, dt = \int_a^b u \cdot \varphi \, dt, \tag{38}$$

which concludes the proof. In this case, let us note that such a definition of $E_{\alpha,p}$ could lead us to name it *fractional Sobolev space* and to denote it $W^{\alpha,p}$. Nevertheless, these notion and notation are already used, see [**10**].

4. Variational structure of (EL$^\alpha$)

From now and in all the rest of the paper, we assume that the Lagrangian L is of class $\mathscr{C}^1$ and we consider the Lagrangian functional $\mathcal{L}$ only defined on the space of functions $E_{\alpha,p}$. Precisely, let us denote:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \mathcal{L}: & E_{\alpha,p} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ & u & \longmapsto & \displaystyle\int_a^b L(u, D^\alpha_- u, t)\, dt. \end{array} \tag{39}$$

In Section 4.1, assuming that L satisfies Hypothesis (H$_1$), (H$_2$) and (H$_3$), we first prove the following results:

- $\mathcal{L}(u)$ exists in $\mathbb{R}$ for any $u \in E_{\alpha,p}$;
- $\mathcal{L}$ is Gâteaux-differentiable at every $u \in E_{\alpha,p}$.

Let us remind that $\mathcal{L}$ is said to be Gâteaux-differentiable at $u \in E_{\alpha,p}$ if the application:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} D\mathcal{L}(u): & E_{\alpha,p} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ & v & \longmapsto & D\mathcal{L}(u)(v) := \displaystyle\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}(u+hv)-\mathcal{L}(u)}{h} \end{array} \tag{40}$$

is defined in $\mathbb{R}$ for any $v \in E_{\alpha,p}$ and if it is linear and continuous. Finally, let us remind that u is said to be a critical point of $\mathcal{L}$ if $D\mathcal{L}(u) = 0$.

Finally, in Section 4.2, under Hypothesis (H$_1$), (H$_2$) and (H$_3$), we finally provide Theorem 10 stating the following implication:

$$u \text{ critical point of } \mathcal{L} \Longrightarrow u \text{ weak solution of (EL}^\alpha\text{)}. \tag{41}$$

4.1. Gâteaux-differentiability of $\mathcal{L}$.

— Let us prove the following lemma:

Lemma 8. — *The following implications hold:*

- *L satisfies* (H$_1$) $\Longrightarrow$ *for any $u \in E_{\alpha,p}$, $L(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \in L^1$ and then $\mathcal{L}(u)$ exists in $\mathbb{R}$;*
- *L satisfies* (H$_2$) $\Longrightarrow$ *for any $u \in E_{\alpha,p}$, $\partial L/\partial x(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \in L^1$;*
- *L satisfies* (H$_3$) $\Longrightarrow$ *for any $u \in E_{\alpha,p}$, $\partial L/\partial y(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \in L^q$.*

Proof. — Let us assume that L satisfies (H$_1$) and let $u \in E_{\alpha,p} \subset \mathscr{C}_0$. Then, $\|D^\alpha_- u\|^{d_1} \in L^{p/d_1} \subset L^1$ and the three applications $t \longrightarrow r_1\big(u(t),t\big)$, $s_1\big(u(t),t\big)$, $|L\big(u(t),0,t\big)| \in \mathscr{C}([a,b],\mathbb{R}^+) \subset L^\infty \subset L^1$. From Hypothesis (H$_1$), we have for almost every $t \in [a,b]$:

$$|L(u(t), D^\alpha_- u(t), t)| \leq r_1(u(t),t)\|D^\alpha_- u(t)\|^{d_1} + s_1(u(t),t) + |L(u(t),0,t)|. \tag{42}$$

Hence, we can conclude that $L(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \in L^1$ and then $\mathcal{L}(u)$ exists in $\mathbb{R}$. We proceed in the same manner in order to prove the second point of Lemma 8. Now, assuming that L satisfies (H$_3$), we have $\|D^\alpha_- u\|^{d_3} \in L^{p/d_3} \subset L^q$ for any $u \in E_{\alpha,p}$. Thus, still in the same way, we prove the third point of Lemma 8. ☐

Let us prove the following result:

Proposition 9. — *Let us assume that L satisfies Hypothesis* (H$_1$), (H$_2$) *and* (H$_3$). *Then, $\mathcal{L}$ is Gâteaux-differentiable at every $u \in E_{\alpha,p}$ and we have:*

$$\forall u, v \in E_{\alpha,p},\ D\mathcal{L}(u)(v) = \int_a^b \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t)\cdot v + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t)\cdot D^\alpha_- v\, dt. \tag{43}$$

Proof. — Let u, $v \in E_{\alpha,p} \subset \mathscr{C}_0$. Let us define:

$$\psi_{u,v}(t,h) := L\big(u(t)+hv(t), D_-^\alpha u(t) + hD_-^\alpha v(t), t\big), \tag{44}$$

for any $h \in [-1,1]$ and for almost every $t \in [a,b]$. Then, let us define the following application:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \phi_{u,v}: & [-1,1] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ & h & \longmapsto & \displaystyle\int_a^b L(u+hv, D_-^\alpha u + hD_-^\alpha v, t)\, dt = \int_a^b \psi_{u,v}(t,h)\, dt. \end{array} \tag{45}$$

Our aim is to prove that the following term:

$$D\mathcal{L}(u)(v) = \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}(u+hv)-\mathcal{L}(u)}{h} = \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\phi_{u,v}(h)-\phi_{u,v}(0)}{h} = \phi'_{u,v}(0) \tag{46}$$

exists in $\mathbb{R}$. In order to differentiate $\phi_{u,v}$, we use the theorem of differentiation under the integral sign. Indeed, we have for almost every $t \in [a,b]$, $\psi_{u,v}(t,\cdot)$ is differentiable on $[-1,1]$ with:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall h \in [-1,1],\ \frac{\partial \psi_{u,v}}{\partial h}(t,h) = {} & \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\big(u(t)+hv(t), D_-^\alpha u(t) + hD_-^\alpha v(t), t\big) \cdot v(t) \\ & + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\big(u(t)+hv(t), D_-^\alpha u(t) + hD_-^\alpha v(t), t\big) \cdot D_-^\alpha v(t). \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Then, from Hypothesis (H_2) and (H_3), we have for any $h \in [-1,1]$ and for almost every $t \in [a,b]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial \psi_{u,v}}{\partial h}(t,h) \right| \leq {} & \Big[r_2\big(u(t)+hv(t),t\big) \|D_-^\alpha u(t) + hD_-^\alpha v(t)\|^{d_2} + s_2\big(u(t)+hv(t),t\big) \Big] \|v(t)\| \\ & + \Big[r_3\big(u(t)+hv(t),t\big) \|D_-^\alpha u(t) + hD_-^\alpha v(t)\|^{d_3} + s_3\big(u(t)+hv(t),t\big) \Big] \|D_-^\alpha v(t)\|. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Let us define:

$$r_{2,0} := \max_{(t,h)\in[a,b]\times[-1,1]} r_2\big(u(t)+hv(t),t\big) \tag{49}$$

and let us define similarly $s_{2,0}$, $r_{3,0}$, $s_{3,0}$. Finally, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial \psi_{u,v}}{\partial h}(t,h) \right| \leq {} & 2^{d_2} r_{2,0} \underbrace{(\|D_-^\alpha u(t)\|^{d_2} + \|D_-^\alpha v(t)\|^{d_2})}_{\in L^{p/d_2} \subset L^1} \underbrace{\|v(t)\|}_{\in \mathscr{C}_0 \subset L^\infty} + s_{2,0} \underbrace{\|v(t)\|}_{\in \mathscr{C}_0 \subset L^1} \\ & + 2^{d_3} r_{3,0} \underbrace{\|D_-^\alpha u(t)\|^{d_3} + \|D_-^\alpha v(t)\|^{d_3})}_{\in L^{p/d_3} \subset L^q} \underbrace{\|D_-^\alpha v(t)\|}_{\in L^p} + s_{3,0} \underbrace{\|D_-^\alpha v(t)\|}_{\in L^p \subset L^1}. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

The right term is then a L^1 function independent of h. Consequently, we can use the theorem of differentiation under the integral sign and we obtain that $\phi_{u,v}$ is differentiable with:

$$\forall h \in [-1,1],\ \phi'_{u,v}(h) = \int_a^b \frac{\partial \psi_{u,v}}{\partial h}(t,h)\, dt. \tag{51}$$

In particular, we finally have:

$$D\mathcal{L}(u)(v) = \phi'_{u,v}(0) = \int_a^b \frac{\partial \psi_{u,v}}{\partial h}(t,0)\, dt = \int_a^b \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(u, D_-^\alpha u, t) \cdot v + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(u, D_-^\alpha u, t) \cdot D_-^\alpha v\, dt. \tag{52}$$

From Lemma 8, we have:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \in L^1 \text{ and } \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \in L^q. \tag{53}$$

Then, since $v \in \mathscr{C}_0 \subset L^\infty$ and $D^\alpha_- v \in L^p$, we have $D\mathcal{L}(u)(v)$ exists in $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |D\mathcal{L}(u)(v)| &\leq \left\| \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \right\|_{L^1} \|v\|_\infty + \left\| \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \right\|_{L^q} \|D^\alpha_- v\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha-(1/p)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\big((\alpha-1)q+1\big)^{1/q}} \left\| \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \right\|_{L^1} + \left\| \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \right\|_{L^q} \right) |v|_{\alpha,p}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $D\mathcal{L}(u)$ is linear and continuous from $E_{\alpha,p}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. The proof is completed. □

4.2. Theorem 10. — We are now in position in order to provide the following theorem:

Theorem 10. — *Let us assume that L satisfies Hypothesis* (H_1), (H_2) *and* (H_3). *Then:*

$$u \text{ critical point of } \mathcal{L} \Longrightarrow u \text{ weak solution of } (\mathrm{EL}^\alpha). \tag{54}$$

Proof. — Let u be a critical point of $\mathcal{L}$. Then, we have in particular:

$$\forall v \in \mathscr{C}^\infty_c,\ D\mathcal{L}(u)(v) = \int_a^b \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \cdot v + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \cdot D^\alpha_- v \, dt = 0. \tag{55}$$

For any $v \in \mathscr{C}^\infty_c \subset AC_0$, $D^\alpha_- v = I^{1-\alpha}_- \dot{v} \in \mathscr{C}^\infty_0$. Since $\partial L/\partial y(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \in L^q$, Property 5 gives:

$$\forall v \in \mathscr{C}^\infty_c,\ \int_a^b \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \cdot v + I^{1-\alpha}_+ \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \right) \cdot \dot{v} \, dt = 0. \tag{56}$$

Finally, let us define:

$$\forall t \in [a,b],\ w_u(t) = \int_a^t \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \, dt. \tag{57}$$

Since $\partial L/\partial x(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \in L^1$, $w_u \in AC_0$ and $\dot{w_u} = \partial L/\partial x(u, D^\alpha_- u, t)$. Then, an integration by parts leads to:

$$\forall v \in \mathscr{C}^\infty_c,\ \int_a^b \left(I^{1-\alpha}_+ \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \right) - w_u \right) \cdot \dot{v} \, dt = 0. \tag{58}$$

Consequently, there exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that:

$$I^{1-\alpha}_+ \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \right) = C + w_u \in AC. \tag{59}$$

By differentiation, we obtain:

$$-D^\alpha_+ \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t), \tag{60}$$

and then $u \in E_{\alpha,p} \subset \mathscr{C}$ satisfies (EL^α) a.e. on $[a,b]$. The proof is completed. □

Let us note that the use of Property 5 in the previous proof is responsible of the emergence of D^α_+ in (EL^α) although $\mathcal{L}$ is only dependent of D^α_-. This asymmetry in (EL^α) is a strong drawback in order to solve it explicitly. However, Theorem 2 implies the existence of a weak solution for (EL^α).

5. Existence of a global minimum of $\mathcal{L}$

In this section, under assumptions (H_4) and (H_5), we prove the existence of a global minimum u of $\mathcal{L}$, see Theorem 12. Finally, u is then a critical point of $\mathcal{L}$ and then u is a weak solution of (EL^α) according to Theorem 10. Hence, the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

In this section, we take widely inspiration from [**12, 13**] where a similar method is developed in the case of the classical Euler-Lagrange equation (*i.e.* for $\alpha = 1$).

5.1. Other convexity conditions. — As usual in a variational method, in order to prove the existence of a global minimum of a functional, coercivity and convexity hypothesis need to be added on the Lagrangian. We have already define Hypothesis (H_4) (coercivity) and (H_5) (convexity) in Section 1.2. In this section, we introduce two additional convexity hypothesis (H'_5) and (H''_5) under which Theorem 2 is still valid.

The following hypothesis is denoted (H'_5):

$$\forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [a,b],\ L(x,\cdot,t) \text{ is convex} \\ \text{and } \big(L(\cdot,y,t)\big)_{(y,t)\in\mathbb{R}^d\times[a,b]} \text{ is uniformly equicontinuous on } \mathbb{R}^d. \qquad (\mathrm{H}'_5)$$

We remind that the uniform equicontinuity of $\big(L(\cdot,y,t)\big)_{(y,t)\in\mathbb{R}^d\times[a,b]}$ signifies:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0,\ \exists \delta > 0,\ \forall (x_1,x_2) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^2, \\ \|x_2 - x_1\| < \delta \Longrightarrow \forall (y,t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [a,b], |L(x_2,y,t) - L(x_1,y,t)| < \varepsilon. \qquad (61)$$

Let us note that Hypothesis (H_5) and (H'_5) are independent.

Finally, let us define the following hypothesis denoted (H''_5):

$$\forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [a,b],\ L(x,\cdot,t) \text{ is convex.} \qquad (\mathrm{H}''_5)$$

Of course, Hypothesis (H''_5) is the weakest. Nevertheless, in this case, the detailed proof of Theorem 12 is more complicated. Consequently, in the case of Hypothesis (H''_5), we do not develop the proof and we use a strong result proved in [**13**].

5.2. Theorem 12. — Let us prove the following preliminary result:

Lemma 11. — *Let us assume that L satisfies Hypothesis* (H_4). *Then, $\mathcal{L}$ is coercive in the sense that:*

$$\lim_{\|u\|_{\alpha,p}\to+\infty} \mathcal{L}(u) = +\infty. \qquad (62)$$

Proof. — Let $u \in E_{\alpha,p}$, we have:

$$\mathcal{L}(u) = \int_a^b L(u, D^\alpha_- u, t)\,dt \geq \int_a^b c_1(u,t)\|D^\alpha_- u\|^p + c_2(t)\|u\|^{d_4} + c_3(t)\,dt. \qquad (63)$$

Equation (20) implies that:

$$\|u\|^{d_4}_{L^{d_4}} \leq (b-a)^{1-\frac{d_4}{p}} \|u\|^{d_4}_{L^p} \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha+1-\frac{d_4}{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \|D^\alpha_- u\|^{d_4}_{L^p} = \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha+1-\frac{d_4}{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} |u|^{d_4}_{\alpha,p}. \qquad (64)$$

Finally, we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall u \in E_{\alpha,p},\ \mathcal{L}(u) &\geq \gamma \|D^\alpha_- u\|^p_{L^p} - \|c_2\|_\infty \|u\|^{d_4}_{L^{d_4}} - (b-a)\|c_3\|_\infty && (65) \\ &\geq \gamma |u|^p_{\alpha,p} - \frac{\|c_2\|_\infty (b-a)^{\alpha+1-\frac{d_4}{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} |u|^{d_4}_{\alpha,p} - (b-a)\|c_3\|_\infty . && (66) \end{aligned}$$

Since $d_4 < p$ and since the norms $|\cdot|_{\alpha,p}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha,p}$ are equivalent, the proof is completed. ☐

Now, we are in position in order to prove Theorem 12:

Theorem 12. — *Let us assume that L satisfies Hypothesis* (H_1), (H_2), (H_3), (H_4) *and one of Hypothesis* (H_5), (H'_5) *or* (H''_5)*. Then, $\mathcal{L}$ admits a global minimum u in $E_{\alpha,p}$.*

Proof. — Let $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $E_{\alpha,p}$ satisfying:

$$\mathcal{L}(u_n) \longrightarrow \inf_{v \in E_{\alpha,p}} \mathcal{L}(v) =: K. \tag{67}$$

Since L satisfies Hypothesis (H_1), $\mathcal{L}(u) \in \mathbb{R}$ for any $u \in E_{\alpha,p}$. Hence, $K < +\infty$. Let us prove by contradiction that $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $E_{\alpha,p}$. In the negative case, we can construct a subsequence $(u_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying $\|u_{n_k}\|_{\alpha,p} \to +\infty$. Since L satisfies Hypothesis (H_4), Lemma 11 gives:

$$K = \lim_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}(u_{n_k}) = +\infty, \tag{68}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $E_{\alpha,p}$. Since $E_{\alpha,p}$ is reflexive, there exists a subsequence still denoted $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging weakly in $E_{\alpha,p}$ to an element denoted $u \in E_{\alpha,p}$. Let us prove that u is a global minimum of $\mathcal{L}$. Since:

$$u_n \xrightarrow{E_{\alpha,p}} u \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\alpha,p} \hookrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow \mathscr{C}_0, \tag{69}$$

we have:

$$u_n \xrightarrow{\mathscr{C}} u \quad \text{and} \quad D^\alpha_- u_n \xrightarrow{L^p} D^\alpha_- u. \tag{70}$$

Case L satisfies (H_5)*:* in this case, by convexity, we have for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(u_n) = \int_a^b L(u_n, D^\alpha_- u_n, t)\, dt \geq \int_a^b L(u, D^\alpha_- u, t)\, dt \\ + \int_a^b \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \cdot (u_n - u)\, dt + \int_a^b \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \cdot (D^\alpha_- u_n - D^\alpha_- u)\, dt. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Since L satisfies Hypothesis (H_2) and (H_3), $\partial L/\partial x(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \in L^1$ and $\partial L/\partial y(u, D^\alpha_- u, t) \in L^q$. Consequently, using informations of Equation (70) and making n tend to $+\infty$, we obtain:

$$K = \inf_{v\in E_{\alpha,p}} \mathcal{L}(v) \geq \int_a^b L(u, D^\alpha_- u, t)\, dt = \mathcal{L}(u). \tag{72}$$

Consequently, u is a global minimum of $\mathcal{L}$.

Case L satisfies (H'_5)*:* in this case, let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges strongly in $\mathscr{C}$ to u, we have:

$$\exists N \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall n \geq N,\ \|u_n - u\|_\infty < \delta, \tag{73}$$

where δ is given in the definition of (H'_5). In consequence, we have a.e. on $[a,b]$:

$$\forall n \geq N,\ \big|L\big(u_n(t), D^\alpha_- u_n(t), t\big) - L\big(u(t), D^\alpha_- u_n(t), t\big)\big| < \varepsilon. \tag{74}$$

Moreover, for any $n \geq N$, we have:

$$\mathcal{L}(u_n) = \int_a^b L(u, D_-^\alpha u, t)\, dt + \int_a^b L(u_n, D_-^\alpha u_n, t) - L(u, D_-^\alpha u_n, t)\, dt \\ + \int_a^b L(u, D_-^\alpha u_n, t) - L(u, D_-^\alpha u, t)\, dt. \quad (75)$$

Then, for any $n \geq N$, we have by convexity:

$$\mathcal{L}(u_n) \geq \int_a^b L(u, D_-^\alpha u, t)\, dt - \int_a^b |L(u_n, D_-^\alpha u_n, t) - L(u, D_-^\alpha u_n, t)|\, dt \\ + \int_a^b \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(u, D_-^\alpha u, t) \cdot (D_-^\alpha u_n - D_-^\alpha u)\, dt. \quad (76)$$

And, using Equation (74), we obtain for any $n \geq N$:

$$\mathcal{L}(u_n) \geq \int_a^b L(u, D_-^\alpha u, t)\, dt - \varepsilon(b-a) + \int_a^b \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(u, D_-^\alpha u, t) \cdot (D_-^\alpha u_n - D_-^\alpha u)\, dt. \quad (77)$$

Let us remind that $\partial L / \partial y(u, D_-^\alpha u, t) \in L^q$ since L satisfies (H_3). Since $(D_-^\alpha u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly in L^p to $D_-^\alpha u$, we obtain by making n tend to $+\infty$ and then by making ε tend to 0:

$$K = \inf_{v \in E_{\alpha,p}} \mathcal{L}(v) \geq \int_a^b L(u, D_-^\alpha u, t)\, dt = \mathcal{L}(u). \quad (78)$$

Consequently, u is a global minimum of $\mathcal{L}$.

Case L satisfies (H_5'')*:* in this case, we refer to Theorem 3.23 in [**13**]. ☐

Let us note that if we replace convexity by strict convexity in Hypothesis (H_5), one can easily prove that it implies the uniqueness of the global minimum of $\mathcal{L}$.

Finally, combining Theorems 10 and 12, the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

6. Examples

Let us see some examples of Lagrangian L satisfying Hypothesis of Theorem 2. Consequently, the fractional Euler-Lagrange equations (EL^α) associated admits a weak solution $u \in E_{\alpha,p}$.

The most classical example is the Dirichlet integral, *i.e.* the Lagrangian functional associated to the Lagrangian L given by:

$$L(x,y,t) = \frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2. \quad (79)$$

Indeed, in this case, L satisfies Hypothesis (H_1), (H_2), (H_3), (H_4) and (H_5) for $p = 2$. Hence, the fractional Euler-Lagrange equation (EL^α) associated admits a weak solution in $E_{\alpha,p}$ for $(1/2) < \alpha < 1$.

In a more general case, let us take the following Lagrangian L:

$$L(x,y,t) = \frac{1}{p}\|y\|^p + a(x,t), \quad (80)$$

where $p > 1$ and $a \in \mathscr{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times [a,b], \mathbb{R}^+)$. Then, L satisfies Hypothesis (H_1), (H_2), (H_3), (H_4) and (H''_5) and the fractional Euler-Lagrange equation (EL^α) associated admits a weak solution in $E_{\alpha,p}$ for $(1/p) < \alpha < 1$. Let us note that if for any $t \in [a,b]$, $a(\cdot,t)$ is convex, then L satisfies Hypothesis (H_5).

In the unidimensional case $d = 1$, let us take a Lagrangian with a second term linear in its first variable, *i.e.*:

$$L(x,y,t) = \frac{1}{p}|y|^p + f(t)x, \tag{81}$$

where $p > 1$ and $f \in \mathscr{C}^1([a,b], \mathbb{R})$. Then, L satisfies Hypothesis (H_1), (H_2), (H_3), (H_4) and (H_5) and the fractional Euler-Lagrange equation (EL^α) associated admits a weak solution in $E_{\alpha,p}$ for $(1/p) < \alpha < 1$.

Theorem 2 is a result based on strong conditions on Lagrangian L (especially Hypothesis (H_4)). Consequently, there exist Lagrangian not satisfying the imposed conditions. We can cite the Bolza's example in dimension $d = 1$ given by:

$$L(x,y,t) = (y^2 - 1)^2 + x^4. \tag{82}$$

This Lagrangian does not satisfy Hypothesis (H_4) neither Hypothesis (H''_5).

Nevertheless, the method developed in this paper gives a framework in order to study the existence of weak solutions for fractional Euler-Lagrange equations. As usual with variational methods, the conditions of coercivity or convexity can often be replaced by weaker assumptions specific to the studied problem.

References

[1] O.P. Agrawal. Formulation of Euler-Lagrange equations for fractional variational problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 272(1):368–379, 2002.

[2] O.P. Agrawal. A general formulation and solution scheme for fractional optimal control problems. *Nonlinear Dynam.*, 38(1-4):323–337, 2004.

[3] O.P. Agrawal. A formulation and numerical scheme for fractional optimal control problems. *J. Vib. Control*, 14(9-10):1291–1299, 2008.

[4] R. Almeida, A.B. Malinowska, and D.F.M. Torres. A fractional calculus of variations for multiple integrals with application to vibrating string. *J. Math. Phys.*, 51(3):033503, 12, 2010.

[5] R. L. Bagley and R. A. Calico. Fractional order state equations for the control of viscoelastically damped structures. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 14:304–311, 1991.

[6] D. Baleanu and S.I. Muslih. Lagrangian formulation of classical fields within Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives. *Phys. Scripta*, 72(2-3):119–121, 2005.

[7] D. Baleanu and J.J. Trujillo. On exact solutions of a class of fractional Euler-Lagrange equations. *Nonlinear Dynam.*, 52(4):331–335, 2008.

[8] L. Bourdin and J. Cresson. A continuous/discrete fractional Noether's theorem. *preprint arXiv:1203.1206v1 [math.DS].*

[9] L. Bourdin, J. Cresson, I. Greff, and P. Inizan. Variational integrators on fractional Lagrangian systems in the framework of discrete embeddings. *preprint arXiv:1103.0465v1 [math.DS].*

[10] Haïm Brezis. *Analyse fonctionnelle.* Collection Mathématiques Appliquées pour la Maîtrise. [Collection of Applied Mathematics for the Master's Degree]. Masson, Paris, 1983. Théorie et applications. [Theory and applications].

[11] F. Comte. Opérateurs fractionnaires en économétrie et en finance. *Prépublication MAP5*, 2001.

[12] Bernard Dacorogna. *Introduction au calcul des variations*, volume 3 of *Cahiers Mathématiques de l'École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne [Mathematical Papers of the École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne]*. Presses Polytechniques et Universitaires Romandes, Lausanne, 1992.

[13] Bernard Dacorogna. *Direct methods in the calculus of variations*, volume 78 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2008.

[14] G.S.F. Frederico and D.F.M. Torres. Fractional conservation laws in optimal control theory. *Nonlinear Dynam.*, 53(3):215–222, 2008.

[15] G.S.F. Frederico and D.F.M. Torres. Fractional optimal control in the sense of Caputo and the fractional Noether's theorem. *Int. Math. Forum*, 3(9-12):479–493, 2008.

[16] E. Gerolymatou, I. Vardoulakis, and R. Hilfer. Modelling infiltration by means of a nonlinear fractional diffusion model. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 39:4104, 2006.

[17] Claude Godbillon. *Géométrie différentielle et mécanique analytique*. Hermann, Paris, 1969.

[18] R. Hilfer. Applications of fractional calculus in physics. *World Scientific, River Edge, New Jersey*, 2000.

[19] R. Hilfer. Fractional calculus and regular variation in thermodynamics. In *Applications of fractional calculus in physics*, pages 429–463. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2000.

[20] Z.D. Jelicic and N. Petrovacki. Optimality conditions and a solution scheme for fractional optimal control problems. *Struct. Multidiscip. Optim.*, 38(6):571–581, 2009.

[21] Feng Jiao and Yong Zhou. Existence of solutions for a class of fractional boundary value problems via critical point theory. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 62(3):1181–1199, 2011.

[22] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, and J.J. Trujillo. *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, volume 204 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.

[23] M. Klimek. Existence - uniqueness result for a certain equation of motion in fractional mechanics. *Bulletin of the polish acacdemy of sciences*, 58(4):73–78.

[24] M. Klimek. Solutions of Euler-Lagrange equations in fractional mechanics. In *XXVI Workshop on Geometrical Methods in Physics*, volume 956 of *AIP Conf. Proc.*, pages 73–78. Amer. Inst. Phys., Melville, NY, 2007.

[25] A. Kolmogorov, S. Fomine, and V.M. Tihomirov. *Eléments de la théorie des fonctions et de l'analyse fonctionnelle*. Éditions Mir, Moscow, 1974. Avec un complément sur les algèbres de Banach, par V. M. Tikhomirov, Traduit du russe par Michel Dragnev.

[26] P. Lévy. L'addition des variables aléatoires définies sur une circonférence. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 67:1–41, 1939.

[27] R.L. Magin. Fractional calculus models of complex dynamics in biological tissues. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 59(5):1586–1593, 2010.

[28] I. Podlubny. *Fractional differential equations*, volume 198 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, 1999. An introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications.

[29] F. Riewe. Mechanics with fractional derivatives. *Phys. Rev. E (3)*, 55(3, part B):3581–3592, 1997.

[30] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, and O.I. Marichev. *Fractional integrals and derivatives*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1993. Theory and applications, Translated from the 1987 Russian original.

[31] A. A. Stanislavsky. Hamiltonian formalism of fractional systems. *Eur. Phys. J. B Condens. Matter Phys.*, 49(1):93–101, 2006.

[32] A. Zoia, M.-C. Néel, and A. Cortis. Continuous-time random-walk model of transport in variably saturated heterogeneous porous media. *Phys. Rev. E*, 81(3):031104, Mar 2010.

Loïc Bourdin, Laboratoire de Mathématiques et de leurs Applications - Pau (LMAP). UMR CNRS 5142. Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour. • *E-mail :* `bourdin.l@etud.univ-pau.fr`